

## Compiler Construction WS09/10

### Exercise Sheet 6

Please hand in the solutions to the theoretical exercises until the beginning of the lecture next Wednesday 2009-12-09, 10:00. Please write the number of your tutorial group or the name of your tutor on the first sheet of your solution. Solutions submitted later will not be accepted.

#### Exercise 6.1: Extreme Pointer (Points: 4)

We have seen in the lecture that stack and heap grow towards each other in the store  $S$ .  $SP$  and  $NP$  denote the Stack and the New pointer, respectively. During execution of a program we must make sure that  $SP$  and  $NP$  do not pass each other. Without any extra provisions we would have to compare  $SP$  and  $NP$  at every update of one of the two values. To save some work we introduce  $EP$ , the Extreme Pointer, which denotes the uppermost cell, to which  $SP$  may point to during the execution of the current function. This relieves us from checking for a collision whenever  $SP$  is manipulated.  $EP$  can be determined statically. It depends on the maximal stack usage during expression evaluation. Let  $t(e)$  denote the number of stack cells needed to evaluate expression  $e$ . Assume  $e$  to be of the following form:

$$e ::= x \mid e_1[e_2] \mid e_1 = e_2 \mid e_1 \text{ op}_b e_2 \mid \text{op}_u e_1$$

Give a recursive definition for the computation of  $t(e)$ ! Explain your definition briefly.

#### Exercise 6.2: Switch (Points: 2+2+2+2)

Translate the following switch statement into valid CMA code using the algorithm presented in the lecture. Use a context with  $\rho(n) = (L, 3)$  and  $\rho(i) = (G, 4)$ .

```
switch (n)
{
  case 0: i = n+2; break;
  case 1: i = -n; break;
  case 2: i = 1; break;
  default: break;
}
```

Consider the following questions regarding switch statements.

1. How should the code function handle switch statements where gaps between case statements exist (i.e. cases are undefined)?
2. Is it always *feasible* to use jump tables to implement switch statements? Explain your answer!
3. Give a different alternative to implement code  $s$  for a general switch statement  $s$ . Discuss the (dis)advantages of your scheme.

### Exercise 6.3: CMa (Points: 5)

Translate the following C program to CMa code using the rules presented in the lecture.

```
struct list
{
    int value;
    struct list *next;
};

struct list * create_list(int n)
{
    struct list *head;
    head = malloc(2);
    head->value = n;
    head->next = 0;
    if (n > 1)
    {
        struct list *tail;
        tail = create_list(n-1);
        head->next = tail;
    }
    return head;
}

int main(void)
{
    create_list(5);
    return 0;
}
```